



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

1/11

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/960,548	09/20/2001	Tomohiro Igakura	P/1866-65	5904
7590	03/07/2006		EXAMINER	
STEVEN I. WEISBURD			CHEN, TE Y	
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			2161	
41st FLOOR			DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006	
NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/960,548	IGAKURA, TOMOHIRO	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Susan Y. Chen	2161	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Safet Metjajic. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Blum, Ian. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 02 March 2006.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 2 and 3.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



SAFET METJAJIC  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Spoke with Mr. Blum regarding the Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief mailed 2/21/06. Mr. Blum argued that he believed that the (1) Appeal Brief's Summary of Claimed subject matter (i.e., item 4) was in compliance with the 37 CFR 41.37(c) and that (2) the note (i.e., item 10) regarding the Advisory Action was in error. Examiner Metjahić reviewed the Office file and discovered that the Advisory Action (mailed 5/4/06) that was scanned into the electronic wrapper did not have box 7 of the Advisory Action checked. In fact, the Advisory Action was not signed. However, the Office Action that was mailed to, and received by Applicant, has box 7 checked, indicating that the After Final Amendment filed would not be entered upon a filing of notice of appeal. The Advisory Action that Applicant received was signed and was in fact the intended copy for the record. See attachment.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Spoke with Mr. Blum regarding the Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief mailed 2/21/06. Mr. Blum argued that he believed that the (1) Appeal Brief's Summary of Claimed subject matter (i.e., item 4) was in compliance with the 37 CFR 41.37(c) and that (2) the note (i.e., item 10) regarding the Advisory Action was in error. Examiner Metjahic reviewed the Office file and discovered that the Advisory Action (mailed 5/4/5) that was scanned into the electronic wrapper did not have box 7 of the Advisory Action checked. In fact, the Advisory Action was not signed. However, the Office Action that was mailed to, and received by Applicant, has box 7 checked, indicating that the After Final Amendment filed would not be entered upon a filing of notice of appeal. The Advisory Action that Applicant received was signed and was in fact the intended copy for the record. See attachment.